

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EGOROV DMITRIY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KUCHUK VLADISLAVA,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00009-DAD-AC (PS)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING  
ACTION, AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO PROCEED *IN FORMA*  
*PAUPERIS* AS MOOT

(Doc. Nos. 2, 3)

Plaintiff Egorov Dmitriy is proceeding *pro se* in this personal injury civil action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

On January 16, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and because it was frivolous, and that plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied as moot. (Doc. No. 3.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days of service. (*Id.* at 4.) No objections have been filed and the time to do so has since passed.

Although it appears from the record on the docket that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned to the court as undeliverable, plaintiff was properly served. It is

1 the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times.  
2 Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully  
3 effective. Additionally, it has far exceeded the April 6, 2020 deadline for plaintiff to file a change  
4 of address with the court.

5 This court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the  
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and  
7 proper analysis.

8 Accordingly,

- 9 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 16, 2020 (Doc. No. 3) are  
10 adopted in full;
- 11 2. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. No. 1) is dismissed due to lack of subject matter  
12 jurisdiction and because it is frivolous;
- 13 3. Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is denied as having  
14 been rendered moot by this order; and
- 15 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: September 6, 2022

18   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE